

<u>Event</u>	<u>Parties' Proposed Date</u>
<p>The parties shall finalize the list of disputed terms for the court to construe and file a joint claim construction and prehearing statement (hereinafter "joint claim construction statement") that identifies both agreed and disputed terms.</p> <p>(a) The joint claim construction statement shall note the anticipated length of time necessary for the claim construction hearing and whether any party proposes to call witnesses, including a statement that such extrinsic evidence does not conflict with intrinsic evidence.</p> <p>(b) The joint claim construction statement shall also indicate whether the parties will present tutorials on the relevant technology, the form of such tutorials, and the timing for such tutorials in relation to the claim construction hearing. If the parties plan to provide tutorials in the form of briefs, declarations, computer animations, slide presentations, or other media, the parties shall exchange such materials 10 days before the Markman hearing. In the alternative, the parties may present tutorials through presentations by the attorneys or experts at the claim construction hearing.</p> <p>(c) The joint claim construction statement shall include a proposed order in which parties will present their arguments at the claim construction hearing, which may be term-by-term or party-by-party.</p> <p>(d) The joint claim construction statement shall limit the number of claim terms to be construed and shall prioritize the disputed terms in order of importance. The Court suggests that, ordinarily, no more than ten (10) terms per patent be identified as requiring construction.</p> <p>(e) The joint claim construction statement shall include a joint claim construction chart, noting each party's proposed construction of each term, and supporting evidence.</p>	<p>May 17, 2013</p>

The additional time is needed to accommodate the parties' schedules. This Motion does not seek to alter the schedule in this action in any respects other than the deadlines listed above and, in particular, does not seek to change the *Markman* Hearing date of June 27, 2013.

Dated: March 27, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Ryan Caughey

By: /s/ Kathryn N. Hong

Joel R. Leeman, BBO #292070
Jack C. Schechter, BBO #652349
SUNSTEIN KANN MURPHY
& TIMBERS LLP
125 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110-1618
Tel: 617-443-9292
jleeman@sunsteinlaw.com
jschechter@sunsteinlaw.com

Pro hac vice
Max L. Tribble
Brian D. Melton
Joseph S. Grinstein
Sandeep Seth
Ryan Caughey
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1000 Louisiana, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77002-5096
(713) 651-9366
mtribble@susmangodfrey.com
bmelton@susmangodfrey.com
jgrinstein@susmangodfrey.com
sseth@susmangodfrey.com
rcaughey@susmangodfrey.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Worlds, Inc.

Jesse J. Jenner (admitted *pro hac vice*)
jesse.jenner@ropesgray.com
Gene W. Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
gene.lee@ropesgray.com
David S. Chun (admitted *pro hac vice*)
david.chun@ropesgray.com
Brian P. Biddinger (admitted *pro hac vice*)
brian.biddinger@ropesgray.com
Kathryn N. Hong (admitted *pro hac vice*)
kathryn.hong@ropesgray.com
ROPES & GRAY LLP
1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8704
(212) 596-9000

Blake B. Greene (BBO #681781)
ROPES & GRAY LLP
Prudential Tower
800 Boylston Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02199-3600
(617) 951-7000
blake.greene@ropesgray.com

Attorneys for Defendants Activision Blizzard,
Inc., Blizzard Entertainment, Inc., and
Activision Publishing, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing document filed through the ECF system will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified on the Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) this 27th day of March, 2013.

By: /s/ Kathryn N. Hong
Kathryn N. Hong